

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/037,009	AJBANI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>

Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee      1714

**All Participants:**

(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Henry Young.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 February 2004

**Time:** 1400

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 16-20, 23-26, 30, 31

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment, that would fix grammatical errors in claims 1 and 2, and insert term and instead of and/or in claims 20, 30 and 31. The Title of the invention has also been changed to better defined the invention. Claims 16-19 and 23-26 have been amended to render claims as properly dependent..